

Strategic Frameworks for Strengthening Competitive Advantage in the Modern Tourism and Hospitality Industry

Stefan Griffiths*

Assistant Professor, Bussiness School, German Jordanian University, Amman, Jordan

***Corresponding author:**

Stefan Griffiths, Assistant Professor, Bussiness School, German Jordanian University, Amman, Jordan. E-mail: Stefan_griffiths@hotmail.com

Received: July 17, 2026; **Accepted:** August 15, 2026; **Published:** August 23, 2026

Citation: Griffiths S. Strategic Frameworks for Strengthening Competitive Advantage in the Modern Tourism and Hospitality Industry. Asian Journal of Management Sciences. 2026, 14 No. 1: 101

Abstract

Competitive advantages in tourism and hospitality depend on the ability of organizations and destinations to deliver distinctive experiences while maintaining operational efficiency, adaptability, and responsible resource management. However, strategic approaches frequently address digital transformation, service quality, innovation, and sustainability separately, limiting understanding of how these capabilities interact. This conceptual article develops an integrated framework for strengthening competitive advantage across tourism and hospitality settings. A focused narrative synthesis connects resource-based and dynamic capability perspectives with research on destination competitiveness, customer relationships, service delivery, tourism innovation, and collaborative governance. The framework identifies five interconnected strategic dimensions: distinctive resources and experiences, digital and customer intelligence, service excellence and workforce capability, sustainability and stakeholder collaboration, and innovation and organizational resilience. These dimensions are proposed to influence competitive advantage through customer value, operational effectiveness, and adaptive capacity. Organizational size, destination conditions, and implementation capabilities may shape their effectiveness. The article presents research propositions and practical indicators for subsequent empirical evaluation. It argues that competitive advantage requires coordinated strategic implementation and should be assessed through financial, customer, environmental, and community outcomes. The framework provides a foundation for examining how tourism and hospitality organizations can combine existing strengths with capabilities that support continuing adaptation.

Keywords: Competitive Advantage; Tourism Strategy; Hospitality Management; Dynamic Capabilities; Service Excellence; Digital Transformation; Sustainable Tourism; Organizational Resilience.

INTRODUCTION

Tourism and hospitality competitiveness involves more than attracting visitors or achieving high occupancy. Organizations must create customer value, manage costs, maintain service consistency, and respond to changes in their operating environment. Destinations face an additional challenge because visitors evaluate an experience assembled by multiple businesses, public agencies, transport providers, and local communities. Competitiveness therefore depends on organizational capabilities and the wider conditions supporting tourism delivery [1,2].

Distinctive resources provide one foundation for competitive advantage. Location, cultural heritage, employee expertise,

reputation, and established partnerships may help organizations offer experiences that competitors cannot easily reproduce. However, access to attractive resources does not guarantee successful performance. Their contribution depends on how they are organized, combined, and applied to customer needs [3].

An adaptive perspective complements this resource-based understanding. Organizations must recognize opportunities, respond to threats, and reconfigure their activities when established practices become ineffective. In tourism and hospitality, this may involve redesigning services, adjusting distribution channels, developing new partnerships, or reallocating resources between customer segments [4].

Digital technologies introduce opportunities for communication, distribution, personalization, and operational coordination. Their strategic value depends on integration with service processes and organizational decision-making. Technology that increases information availability without improving customer assistance or operational reliability may offer limited differentiation [5,6].

This article develops a framework that connects these strategic concerns. Its objective is to explain how distinctive resources, digital intelligence, workforce capability, sustainability, collaboration, and resilience may jointly contribute to competitive advantage.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Research Design

The article uses a conceptual research design supported by a focused narrative synthesis. It integrates established theoretical perspectives and selected tourism and hospitality studies to develop relationships suitable for subsequent testing. Conceptual framework development is appropriate when the objective is to clarify constructs and connect research streams rather than calculate an empirical effect [7].

Source Selection

The synthesis draws on 18 purposively selected publications addressing competitive advantage, tourism technology, customer relationships, service quality, innovation, governance, empowerment, and crisis management. Sources were selected for their relevance to the framework and contribution to construct definition or explanation.

This selection represents an illustrative theoretical foundation rather than an exhaustive systematic review. No comprehensive database screening, study-selection counts, or formal risk-of-bias assessment is claimed. The distinction is important

because different review approaches support different types of conclusions [8].

Analytical Approach

The analysis organized concepts into three categories: strategic resources, implementation capabilities, and proposed outcomes. Resources included distinctive assets, customer knowledge, and stakeholder relationships. Implementation capabilities included service coordination, employee decision-making, innovation, and adaptation. Outcomes included perceived customer value, operational effectiveness, and competitive performance [3,4,9].

The framework was developed by examining where these concepts complemented one another and where their boundaries differed. This study was given to distinguishing organizational competitiveness from destination competitiveness and customer satisfaction from financial performance [1,10,11].

Research Propositions and Validation

Relationships within the framework were expressed as propositions. They indicate expected associations and explanatory mechanisms without claiming statistical confirmation. Subsequent validation would require clearly defined measures, appropriate comparison groups, and observations collected over time [12].

RESULTS

An Integrated Strategic Framework

The conceptual synthesis produces five strategic dimensions. They are linked through three proposed mechanisms: customer value creation, operational effectiveness, and adaptive capacity.

Distinctive Resources and Experience Differentiation

The first dimension connects distinctive resources with experience

Table 1: Proposed strategic dimensions and indicators for empirical evaluation.

Strategic Dimension	Principal Capabilities	Proposed Contribution	Illustrative Indicators
Distinctive resources and experiences	Resource identification, experience design, differentiated positioning	Greater perceived uniqueness and customer value	Perceived differentiation; repeat visitation
Digital and customer intelligence	Information integration, customer insight, coordinated communication	More relevant services and improved coordination	Booking conversion; response time; information accuracy
Service excellence and workforce capability	Training, empowerment, reliability, service recovery	More consistent experiences and stronger satisfaction	Service errors; resolution quality; satisfaction
Sustainability and stakeholder collaboration	Resource efficiency, local partnerships, shared planning	Lower resource pressure and stronger destination relationships	Energy intensity; local procurement; resident feedback
Innovation and organizational resilience	Experimentation, organizational learning, contingency planning	Improved adaptation and continuity	Innovation implementation; recovery time; revenue diversification

design. Heritage, location, expertise, and reputation may support differentiation when they are incorporated into coherent visitor experiences. Their value also depends on accessibility, interpretation, service delivery, and supporting infrastructure.

Digital and Customer Intelligence

The second dimension concerns the ability to convert digital information into useful decisions. Customer records, booking information, and feedback may support relevant communication and service coordination. The framework treats these activities as organizational capabilities rather than if technology ownership itself creates advantage [13-18].

REFERENCES

1. Dwyer, L., & Kim, C. (2003). Destination competitiveness: Determinants and indicators. **Current Issues in Tourism**, 6(5), 369–414.
2. Buhalis, D. (2000). Marketing is the competitive destination of the future. **Tourism Management**, 21(1), 97–116.
3. Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. **Journal of Management**, 17(1), 99–120.
4. Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. **Strategic Management Journal**, 18(7), 509–533.
5. Buhalis, D., & Law, R. (2008). Progress in information technology and tourism management: 20 years on and 10 years after the Internet—The state of eTourism research. **Tourism Management**, 29(4), 609–623.
6. Gretzel, U., Sigala, M., Xiang, Z., & Koo, C. (2015). Smart tourism: Foundations and developments. **Electronic Markets**, 25(3), 179–188.
7. Jaakkola, E. (2020). Designing conceptual articles: Four approaches. **AMS Review**, 10(1–2), 18–26.
8. Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. **Journal of Business Research**, 104, 333–339.
9. Payne, A., & Frow, P. (2005). A strategic framework for customer relationship management. **Journal of Marketing**, 69(4), 167–176.
10. Cronin, J. J., Jr., & Taylor, S. A. (1992). Measuring service quality: A reexamination and extension. **Journal of Marketing**, 56(3), 55–68.
11. Lemon, K. N., & Verhoef, P. C. (2016). Understanding customer experience throughout the customer journey. **Journal of Marketing**, 80(6), 69–96.
12. Hjalager, A. M. (2010). A review of innovation research in tourism. **Tourism Management**, 31(1), 1–12.
13. Bohdanowicz, P. (2006). Environmental awareness and initiatives in the Swedish and Polish hotel industries—survey results. **International Journal of Hospitality Management**, 25(4), 662–682.
14. Hall, C. M. (2011). A typology of governance and its implications for tourism policy analysis. **Journal of Sustainable Tourism**, 19(4–5), 437–457.
15. Bramwell, B., & Lane, B. (2011). Critical research on the governance of tourism and sustainability. **Journal of Sustainable Tourism**, 19(4–5), 411–421.
16. Spreitzer, G. M. (1995). Psychological empowerment in the workplace: Dimensions, measurement, and validation. **Academy of Management Journal**, 38(5), 1442–1465.
17. Faulkner, B. (2001). Towards a framework for tourism disaster management. **Tourism Management**, 22(2), 135–147.
18. Sigala, M. (2020). Tourism and COVID-19: Impacts and implications for advancing and resetting industry and research. **Journal of Business Research**, 117, 312–321.