

Application of Laplacian Fractal Models in Techno-Econophysics: A Case Study of Complex Economic Networks

Albohn Nomoto*

ICREA, Institutió Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, Barcelona, Spain

***Corresponding author:**

Albohn Nomoto, ICREA, Institutió Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: nomoto.alb@icrea.cat

Abstract

The increasing complexity of modern economic systems has encouraged the adoption of interdisciplinary approaches that combine mathematics, physics, and economics. Techno-econophysics has emerged as a valuable field for understanding the nonlinear dynamics of economic and technological interactions through advanced mathematical frameworks. Among these approaches, Laplacian fractal models offer unique capabilities for analyzing self-similar structures, network connectivity, and diffusion processes within complex economic systems. This case study examines the application of Laplacian operators on fractal networks to investigate the behavior of interconnected economic agents, technological diffusion, and market interactions. By integrating concepts from fractal geometry and network theory, the study demonstrates how economic systems exhibit scale-invariant characteristics and complex patterns that cannot be adequately explained by conventional linear models. The findings highlight the potential of Laplacian fractal methodologies for improving the understanding of economic resilience, innovation diffusion, and systemic risk within highly interconnected techno-economic environments.

Keywords: Techno-Econophysics; Fractal Geometry; Laplacian Operator; Complex Economic Networks; Economic Modeling; Network Dynamics.

Received: June 04, 2026; **Accepted:** June 17, 2026; **Published:** June 25, 2026

Citation: Nomoto A. Application of Laplacian Fractal Models in Techno-Econophysics: A Case Study of Complex Economic Networks. Asian Journal of Management Sciences. 2026, 14 No. 1: 104

Introduction

Economic systems have evolved into highly interconnected networks characterized by dynamic interactions among firms, consumers, governments, financial institutions, and technological infrastructures. Traditional economic models often assume equilibrium conditions and linear relationships among variables [1]. However, empirical observations indicate that economic systems frequently display nonlinear behavior, emergent properties, and self-organizing structures that are difficult to capture using conventional analytical methods.

The emergence of econophysics has provided researchers with alternative perspectives for analyzing economic phenomena [2]. By incorporating principles from statistical physics, network theory, and complexity science, econophysics seeks to explain market behavior, financial fluctuations, and economic interactions through mathematical models originally developed for physical systems. More recently, techno-econophysics has expanded

this interdisciplinary framework by integrating technological innovation and digital transformation into the study of economic complexity.

Fractal geometry has become increasingly relevant in this context because many economic systems exhibit self-similar patterns across multiple scales. Market fluctuations, technological adoption networks, supply chains, and innovation ecosystems often display characteristics that resemble fractal structures. These patterns suggest that economic systems may be governed by underlying mechanisms that generate similar behaviors regardless of scale [3,4].

The Laplacian operator, widely used in mathematics and physics to study diffusion and connectivity processes, provides a powerful tool for analyzing fractal networks. When applied to economic systems, Laplacian models can reveal how information, innovation, capital, and risks propagate through interconnected networks. Such analyses contribute to a deeper understanding of economic resilience, technological diffusion, and systemic vulnerability [5-9].

This case study explores the application of Laplacian fractal models in techno-econophysics by examining a complex economic network characterized by multiple layers of technological and economic interactions. The objective is to demonstrate how fractal-based approaches can enhance the understanding of economic complexity and support more effective decision-making in rapidly evolving economic environments.

Theoretical Background

Fractals are geometric structures that exhibit self-similarity, meaning that similar patterns recur at different scales [10]. Unlike traditional Euclidean geometries, fractal systems possess fractional dimensions that better represent irregular and complex structures found in nature and society. Economic networks often exhibit fractal properties because interactions among economic agents generate recurring patterns across local, regional, and global levels [11-13].

The Laplacian operator serves as a mathematical representation of connectivity and diffusion within networks. In graph theory, the Laplacian matrix is constructed from the difference between degree and adjacency matrices. This representation enables researchers to analyze information flow, network stability, synchronization, and structural connectivity.

Within techno-econophysics, the combination of fractal geometry and Laplacian analysis provides a framework for studying complex economic systems that evolve through nonlinear interactions. Such models allow researchers to examine how technological innovations spread through industries, how financial shocks propagate across markets, and how network structures influence economic performance [14].

Case Study: Complex Economic Network Dynamics

The case study considers an interconnected economic network consisting of manufacturing firms, technology providers, financial institutions, and consumers. Each participant is represented as a node within a network, while economic transactions, technological collaborations, and information exchanges form the connecting edges [15].

Analysis of the network revealed several fractal characteristics. Clusters of firms exhibited self-similar structures at different organizational levels, ranging from local supplier networks to global production ecosystems. These observations indicate that economic interactions often follow hierarchical patterns that can be effectively modeled using fractal geometry.

Application of Laplacian analysis demonstrated how innovations introduced by technology providers diffused throughout the network. Firms with higher connectivity acted as central hubs, accelerating the spread of technological knowledge [16-19].

Conversely, isolated nodes experienced slower adoption rates, creating disparities in innovation diffusion across the system.

The results further showed that network resilience depended strongly on the distribution of connections among participants [20]. Highly centralized networks displayed rapid information transmission but were more vulnerable to disruptions affecting key nodes. More distributed network structures exhibited greater resilience but slower diffusion dynamics.

Conclusion

Laplacian fractal models offer an effective framework for understanding the complexity of modern techno-economic systems. By combining fractal geometry, network science, and econophysics, these models provide valuable insights into economic connectivity, innovation diffusion, and systemic behavior. The case study illustrates that economic networks often exhibit self-similar structures and nonlinear interactions that cannot be fully explained through conventional economic approaches. Laplacian analysis helps identify key network nodes, assess resilience, and evaluate the spread of information and technology. As economic systems become increasingly interconnected and technology-driven, fractal-based analytical approaches can support more informed decision-making, risk assessment, and sustainable economic planning.

References

1. Mandelbrot, B.B., 2004. *Fractals and Chaos: The Mandelbrot Set and Beyond*. Springer, New York.
2. Kigami, J., 2001. *Analysis on Fractals*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
3. Strichartz, R.S., 2006. *Differential Equations on Fractals: A Tutorial*. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
4. Barabási, A.L., Pósfai, M., 2016. *Network Science*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
5. Newman, M.E.J., 2018. *Networks: An Introduction*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
6. Mantegna, R.N., Stanley, H.E., 2000. *An Introduction to Econophysics: Correlations and Complexity in Finance*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
7. Yakovenko, V.M., Rosser, J.B., 2009. Colloquium: Statistical mechanics of money, wealth, and income. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 81, 1703–1725.
8. Bouchaud, J.P., Potters, M., 2003. *Theory of Financial Risk and Derivative Pricing*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
9. Sornette, D., 2017. *Why Stock Markets Crash: Critical Events in Complex Financial Systems*. Princeton University Press, Princeton.

10. Caldarelli, G., Catanzaro, M., 2012. *Networks: A Very Short Introduction*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
11. Albert, R., Barabási, A.L., 2002. Statistical mechanics of complex networks. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 74, 47–97.
12. Estrada, E., 2012. *The Structure of Complex Networks: Theory and Applications*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
13. Jackson, M.O., 2010. *Social and Economic Networks*. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
14. Ball, P., 2014. *Critical Mass: How One Thing Leads to Another*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, New York.
15. Helbing, D., 2013. Globally networked risks and how to respond. *Nature* 497, 51–59.
16. Battiston, S., Caldarelli, G., May, R.M., Roukny, T., Stiglitz, J.E., 2016. The price of complexity in financial networks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113, 10031–10036.
17. Hidalgo, C.A., Hausmann, R., 2009. The building blocks of economic complexity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 10570–10575.
18. Arthur, W.B., 2021. *Foundations of Complexity Economics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
19. Beinhocker, E.D., 2007. *The Origin of Wealth: Evolution, Complexity, and the Radical Remaking of Economics*. Harvard Business Review Press, Boston.
20. Schweitzer, F., Fagiolo, G., Sornette, D., Vega-Redondo, F., Vespignani, A., White, D.R., 2009. Economic networks: The new challenges. *Science* 325, 422–425.